### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

PARALLEL NETWORKS LLC	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 5:07-CV-125-DF
	<b>§</b>	
FRIENDFINDER NETWORKS, INC.	§	
	_ §	
DADALLE NEWWORKS AND	§	
PARALLEL NETWORKS LLC	§	
	8	C' TARLEN E OF CVI 125 DE
	8	Civil Action No. 5:07-CV-135-DF
V.	§	
***************************************	§	
VARIOUS, INC.	§	

### **VERDICT FORM**

# **QUESTION NO. 1:**

Has Parallel Networks proven by a preponderance of the evidence that either FriendFinder or Various (collectively, "FriendFinder") infringes any of the claims of the patents in suit?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim listed below.

11-

### '554 Patent

Claim 1	Answer:	45>
Claim 2	Answer:	YES
Claim 3	Answer:	455
Claim 4	Answer:	YES
Claim 5	Answer:	455

Claim 7 Answer: 45

Claim 8 Answer: 455

Claim 11 Answer:  $\sqrt{E}$ 

'335 Patent

Claim 2 Answer: <u>YES</u>

Claim 16 Answer: YES

# **QUESTION NO. 2:**

Has FriendFinder proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the patents in suit are invalid for obviousness?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim listed below.

#### '554 Patent

Claim 1	Answer:	No
---------	---------	----

### '335 Patent

Claim 2 Answer:	NO
-----------------	----

Case 5:07-cv-00135-DF -CMC Document 328 Filed 08/25/08 Page 4 of 4 PageID #: 20517

### **QUESTION NO. 3:**

If you have found that the FriendFinder system infringes any asserted claim of the '554 or '335 patents, even if you have answered "yes" to any portion of Question 2, please determine the sum of money that would fairly and adequately compensate Parallel Networks as reasonable royalty for infringement.

Answer in dollar and cents.

Answer: \$ 1,257,000.

Signed this 25 day of August, 2008.